



Village of Suffern
Village Board Meeting Minutes
Monday, January 6, 2020 7:00 p.m.

The regular meeting of the Village of Suffern Board of Trustees was held immediately following the Monday, January 6, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. Village Board Workshop Meeting.

Present: Edward Markunas, Mayor
 Steven Alpert, Trustee
 Jo Meegan-Corrigan, Trustee
 Charles Barone, Trustee
 Paul Girard, Trustee
 Amy Paffenroth, Village Clerk

CALL TO ORDER:

Boy Scout Troop Pack 23 led the Pledge of Allegiance and moment of silence. Mayor Markunas called the Workshop Meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

STATEMENT BY MAYOR MARKUNAS:

Mayor Markunas stated that he holds the Oath of Office that he took to serve as the Mayor of the Village of Suffern in the highest regard. He took an oath three times for military service, once as a New York City Police Officer, once as a Trustee for the Village of Suffern, and three times as the Mayor of the Village of Suffern and asked the audience to keep that in mind as he made a statement regarding what he believed was misinformation disseminated by Village Trustees related to their appointment of Terry Rice as Village Attorney. Mayor Markunas stated that as far back as he can remember, and as far back as anyone he has spoken with can remember, the Mayor of the Village of Suffern appointed the Village Attorney. It is what did in his past four years as mayor. It is what his predecessor, Trish Abato did in her time as mayor. It is what her predecessor, Degan LaCorte did in his time as mayor, and so on. Yet, at the Village's Organization Meeting on December 2, 2019, the Board of Trustees refused to consider confirmation of the Mayor's appointment of Village Attorney. Rather, the majority of the Board of Trustees adopted an unwritten, unannounced motion to appoint Mr. Terry Rice, Esq. as the Village Attorney. As far as the Mayor is aware, never in the history of the Village had any Trustee taken it upon themselves to appoint the village attorney. The Mayor said it appeared Mr. Rice's appointment came by way of a secret, clandestine meeting between Mr. Rice and Trustee Girard whereby Trustee Girard, perhaps on his own, perhaps with others present, apparently negotiated a retainer agreement with Mr. Rice to become the Village Attorney. No prior notice or information was provided to the Mayor or to the Village of Suffern. The appointment wasn't placed on the

agenda, and the retainer agreement with Mr. Rice was not provided to the Village Clerk. By taking these actions, the Mayor believes Trustee Girard and the other Trustees usurped the power of the Mayor by unreasonably refusing to consider and confirm his appointment of the Village Attorney. The Mayor believes this was a violation of New York State Village Law as well as the decades-long practice in the Village whereby the Mayor appoints the Village Attorney. The Mayor believe this issue should have and could have been avoided. At the Suffern Village Organization Meeting, the then Village Attorney advised the Trustees that by making their own appointment of Mr. Rice, it could likely result in the Village paying for an additional, unnecessary attorney, *to wit*, one Village Attorney for the Mayor and another attorney for the Trustees. The Trustees did take the advice of the Village Attorney. Thereafter, Assistant Village Attorney, Rob Magrino, advised the Trustees of the same consequences and identified other Villages in Rockland County, such as Spring Valley, that have separate attorneys for the mayor and board. The Assistant Village Attorney recommended that the entire Board of Trustees should enter into Executive Session to discuss the matter.

Despite the advice of Village attorneys, the Trustees who voted to appoint Mr. Rice as Village Attorney, refused to discuss the matter in Executive Session. The Mayor implored the Trustees to discuss the matter in Executive Session, but the Trustees refused. Trying again to resolve this matter, the Mayor had previously called a Special Meeting for December 12, 2019 to discuss his appointment for Village Attorney. The Trustees asked that he postpone that meeting because they stated they were unavailable. As a result the Special Meeting was postponed although the Mayor indicated he was concerned that it appeared that the Trustees were trying to delay the meeting until after the New Year. The Mayor gave the Trustees three dates to reschedule the Special Meeting. The Trustees could not make the dates provided. Mr. Barone and Mr. Girard could only make one day. Mr. Alpert could not make that day but could make others. Ms. Corrigan only indicated she would try to make the one day that Mr. Barone and Mr. Girard could make. As a result a Special meeting was never able to be scheduled.

The Trustees then called for a Special Meeting for December 21, 2019. The Mayor, Trustee Alpert, and members of the public attended. The other board members did not attend. Trustee Alpert read a statement at the December 21st meeting indicating, that together, the Trustees decided not to attend. The Trustees did not formally cancel the meeting they noticed and did not advise the Mayor they intended to cancel. The Trustees decided amongst themselves to not show up to a meeting they had called for. The Trustees were so determined to hold the December 21st meeting, that Trustee Alpert threatened the Village Clerk that if she didn't notice the meeting which was written incorrectly by a member of the Board, the Village Clerk would be in dereliction of her duties. This is the same village clerk that the Trustees refused to confirm at the organizational meeting.

The Mayor commenced an action in the Rockland County Supreme Court to assert his rights and assert the rights of the Village to determine who has the right to appoint the village attorney. The Mayor indicated despite what the public may have heard and/or read, this matter is in its infancy. No final decision had yet been rendered. The Mayor was alerted to Facebook postings issued by some of the Trustees, and at least one lengthy bulk email sent out by the Trustees regarding this situation. The Mayor stated that what the Trustees alleged was untrue and a mischaracterization of what had transpired.

The Mayor addressed claims raised by the Trustees in the social media postings and bulk email. The Trustees claimed that holiday schedules made it difficult for all of the board members to meet for a public meeting. The Mayor indicated he was not aware of any holidays on December 12, 13, 14, or 16. The Trustees were also advised by two different attorneys to meet on December 2 at the board meeting when all were present, but they refused. The Trustees claimed that all four Trustees and the Mayor were available and prepared to meet on December 21, but it was the Trustees that canceled the meeting possibly in violation of the Open Meeting Law. The Trustees claimed on December 20, after several hours of testimony, the Honorable Justice Paul I. Marx of the New York State Rockland County Supreme Court denied the Mayor's action in the initial ruling. The Mayor stated that there was not an hour, minute or second of testimony. The Mayor stated he was present for the entire matter before the Judge, and Judge Marx specifically stated his initial ruling would have no bearing at all on what his final determination might be. The Trustees claimed the case against the Trustees will continue to wind its way through the court for final determination over the next several weeks. The Mayor stated the claim against the Trustees may take months to be resolved.

The Mayor noted that when the Trustees had an opportunity to sit down with the Mayor to resolve differences, they refused. They then failed to make themselves available to meet during scheduled Special Meetings. While the Mayor said he cannot force the Trustees to discuss this situation, he believes he has rights and is now forced to seek to have these rights determined in a court of law. This matter will require the Village to expend additional funds. The Mayor relies heavily upon the Village Attorney to assist him with statutory duties since he is not an attorney, and there are laws that are encountered on an almost daily basis in the course of conducting Village business. The Mayor appointed Keane & Beane in February 2019 to provide the Village the best legal services at a reasonable rate. This change saved the Village thousands of dollars in 2019. The Mayor moved away from political appointments but believes the Trustees have gone back to political appointments. While the Mayor is just one vote on the Board of Trustees, he believes he cannot in good conscience allow violations of law and practice to happen. It is the Mayor's intention to protect his rights as Mayor, as well as the rights of future Mayors and is also protecting the rights of the residents who elected him to serve as their mayor. Protecting the rights of the Mayor and the Village is a process that must be respected and followed. Under advice of counsel, the Mayor advised the audience that he could not comment on the active litigation commenced against the Trustees. The Mayor noted that the Trustees, in their

communication to the public, indicated that residents of the Village could ask any questions they might have about Village matters. The Mayor encouraged residents in attendance during the audience participation portion of the regular meeting to ask the Trustees any questions they had about the litigation including: why the Trustees refused to meet when they were all present on December 2, why the Trustees refused to meet on December 21 when they called for a Special Meeting to take place, why the Trustees refused to appoint the village clerk, why the Trustees refused to tell the public who interviewed Mr. Rice, and who was present for the interview, and what other attorneys were interviewed.

The Mayor asked the Trustees who the Village's current labor counsel is and noted that the retainer agreement signed by Mr. Rice, which was negotiated by Trustee Girard, specifically indicates that the Village shall continue to utilize separate labor counsel. Labor council was not retained in the action taken by the Trustees, as this matter was not discussed with the Mayor, yet the Village has ongoing labor matters. The Mayor indicated that Keane & Beane represented the Village of Suffern before Mayor Markunas became mayor. Keane & Beane had represented the Village since at least 1998. The last two mayors (Abato and LaCorte) specifically appointed Keane & Beane to perform all labor work even while Mr. Rice was the Village Attorney. Yet, the Trustees appointed no one to perform labor work.

UPCOMING MEETINGS:

A Workshop Meeting will be held Tuesday, January 28, 2020, at 7:00 p.m. The Regular Meeting of the Village Board of Trustees will be held Monday, February 3, 2020, at 7:00 p.m.

TREE ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

RESOLUTION NO. 1 OF 2020 - APPOINTING SANDY STEAD AS TREE ADVISORY CHAIRPERSON FOR A ONE-YEAR TERM OF OFFICE

At the Workshop Meeting, Mayor Edward Markunas appointed Sandy Stead as Chairperson of the Tree Advisory Board effective January 7, 2020 for the remaining portion of the one-year term to expire at noon on December 7, 2020. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

AVON PILOT AGREEMENT AMENDMENT:

Representatives from Avon and Avon's legal team presented information regarding their request to have the Avon Pilot Agreement Amended.

SUFFERN HIGH SCHOOL ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVISM CLUB PRESENTATION OF CLIMATE SMART COMMUNITIES:

Members of the Suffern High School advised the Board as to the work they have doing with the Mayor and Village Clerk to help establish the Village of Suffern as a Climate Smart Community. The team requested the Board consider passing a resolution at the next regular meeting to

announce this commitment. The Board will review the Climate Smart Community Resolution at the next Workshop Meeting.

PUBLIC HEARING:

A motion to open the Public Hearing scheduled for January 6, 2020 at 7:05 p.m. to consider a local law to amend Section 254 of the Code of the Village of Suffern, entitled Vehicle and Traffic was made at 8:55 p.m. by Charles Barone, seconded by Jo Meegan-Corrigan, and passed unanimously by the Board. Attorney Magrino indicated that a final review of the proposed local law should take place prior to adopting any changes. A motion to continue the public hearing on February 3, 2020 at 7:05 p.m. was made at 8:57 p.m. by Paul Girard, seconded by Jo Meegan-Corrigan, and passed unanimously by the Board.

PUBLIC HEARING:

A motion to open the Public Hearing scheduled for January 6, 2020 at 7:10 p.m. to consider a local law to amend Section 254 of the Code of the Village of Suffern, entitled Vehicle and Traffic, Article III – Parking Standing and Stopping, to provide for the definition of Passenger Loading Zones was made at 9:23 p.m. by Paul Girard, seconded by Jo Meegan-Corrigan, and passed unanimously by the Board. Attorney Magrino provided an overview of the proposed amendments. A motion to close the public hearing was made at 9:27 p.m. was made by Charles Barone, seconded by Paul Girard, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 2 OF 2019 - ADOPTING LOCAL LAW 1 OF 2020 AMENDING CHAPTER 254 OF THE CODE OF THE VILLAGE OF SUFFERN ENTITLED VEHICLE AND TRAFFIC, ARTICLE III – PARKING, STANDING AND STOPPING, TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEFINITION OF PASSENGER LOADING ZONES

On October 7, 2019, Board of Trustees expressed its intention to conduct a Public Hearing to consider amendments to the Village Code Chapter 254 Vehicle and Traffic. On that date the Village Board declared its intention to serve as Lead Agency for purposes of SEQRA and no other entity expressing a desire to serve as Lead Agency. The Village Board directed referral of the proposed amendment to the Code pursuant to General Municipal Law §239-l and m; and WHEREAS, the Public Hearing in consideration of the said amendment was continued at the regular meetings of the Village Board of Trustees held November 4, 2019, December 2, 2019, and January 6, 2020. The Rockland County Department of Planning offered no comments respect thereto. The Village Board hereby declared itself to be Lead Agency for the purpose of environmental review under SEQRA and determined that the matter is a Type II action thus requiring no further environmental review. The Board of Trustees adopted Local Law No. 1 of 2020 as follows: LOCAL LAW NO. 1 OF 2020 - AMENDING CHAPTER 254 OF THE CODE OF THE VILLAGE OF SUFFERN ENTITLED VEHICLE AND TRAFFIC, ARTICLE III – PARKING, STANDING AND STOPPING, TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEFINITION OF PASSENGER LOADING ZONES. BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Suffern, as follows: Section 1. Section 254 of the Code of the Village of Suffern, entitled Vehicle and Traffic, is hereby amended to provide for

amendments to Article III, entitled Parking, Standing and Stopping, to provide for the definition of Passenger Loading Zones. As amended the said section of the Code shall read as follows (Additions are underlined, deletions are ~~striketrough~~): § 254-23.1 Passenger Parking zones. Passenger parking zones are defined as all on street parking locations on Chestnut Street, Lafayette Avenue, Orange Avenue, Park Avenue, Suffern Place, and Wayne Avenue including handicapped parking spaces and 15-minute parking spaces, except those locations as designated as Loading Zones as described in § 254-23. Such passenger parking zones shall be off limits to vehicles using them for loading and unloading purposes other than for passengers, and for vehicles to park in accordance with the applicable regulations. Section 2. This Local Law shall take effect immediately upon filing with the Secretary of State. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Paul Girard, seconded by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, and passed unanimously by the Board.

PUBLIC HEARING:

A motion to open the Public Hearing scheduled for January 6, 2020 at 7:15 p.m. to consider a local law to amend Section 254 of the Code of the Village of Suffern, entitled Vehicle and Traffic, to establish restrictions on the idling of parked vehicles in the Central Business District was made at 9:28 p.m. by Paul Girard, seconded by Jo Meegan-Corrigan, and passed unanimously by the Board. Attorney Magrino provided an overview of the proposed amendments. A motion to close the public hearing was made at 9:29 p.m. was made by Charles Barone, seconded by Paul Girard, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 3 OF 2020 - ADOPTING LOCAL LAW 2 OF 2020 AMENDING CHAPTER 254 OF THE CODE OF THE VILLAGE OF SUFFERN, ENTITLED VEHICLE AND TRAFFIC, ARTICLE III – PARKING, STANDING AND STOPPING, TO ADD SECTION 254-18.2 – VEHICLES, IDLING OF

On October 7, 2019, the Board of Trustees expressed its intention to conduct a Public Hearing to consider amendments to the Village Code Chapter 254 Vehicle and Traffic. On that date the Village Board declared its intention to serve as Lead Agency for purposes of SEQRA and no other entity expressing a desire to serve as Lead Agency. The Village Board directed referral of the proposed amendment to the Code pursuant to General Municipal Law §239-l and m. The Public Hearing in consideration of the said amendment was continued at the regular meetings of the Board of Trustees held November 4, 2019, December 2, 2019, and January 6, 2020. The Rockland County Department of Planning offered no comments respect thereto. The Village Board hereby declared itself to be Lead Agency for the purpose of environmental review under SEQRA and determined that the matter is a Type II action thus requiring no further environmental review. The Board of Trustees adopted Local Law No. 2 of 2020 as follows: LOCAL LAW NO. 2 OF 2020 - AMENDING CHAPTER 254 OF THE CODE OF THE VILLAGE OF SUFFERN, ARTICLE III – PARKING, STANDING AND STOPPING, TO ADD SECTION 254-18.2 – VEHICLES, IDLING OF BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Suffern, as follows: Section 1. Section 254 of the Code of the Village of Suffern, Article III, entitled Parking, Standing and Stopping, § 254-18 No Standing, is amended to add § 254-18.2 Vehicles, Idling Of. As amended, the said section of the Code shall

read as follows (Additions are underlined, deletions are ~~striketrough~~):

§ 254-18.1 No standing. No person shall stand a vehicle upon any of the streets or parts of streets described in Schedule XIII (§ 254-63), attached to and made a part of this chapter. § 254-18.2 Vehicle, Idling Of. A. Legislative intent. The Board of the Village of Suffern hereby intends to reinforce and supplement, and to be construed in a manner not inconsistent with the Sanitary Code of the County of Rockland as enacted by the Board of Health of the Rockland County Health District pursuant to § 347 of the Public Health Law, and as from time to time may be amended.

B. Operation of motor vehicle; idling of engine restricted. 1. No person shall cause or permit the engine of a motor vehicle, except to idle for longer than three consecutive minutes when the motor vehicle is not in motion. Notwithstanding Subsection A, this article shall not be construed to restrict the idling of any emergency: Any vehicle in use by law enforcement, public safety or police officers, emergency service officials, first aid, medical technicians and personnel, and fire safety personnel in the performance of duties or in the course or responding to an emergency. In any proceeding relating to a violation of the restrictions on idling, it shall not be a defense that a sign required by this section was absent at the time of the violation. Section 2. This Local Law shall take effect immediately upon filing with the Secretary of State. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Charles Barone, seconded by Trustee Paul Girard, and passed unanimously by the Board.

REQUEST FOR A PUBLIC HEARING:

RESOLUTION NO. 4 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING A PUBLIC HEARING TO BE HELD FEBRUARY 3, 2020 TO AMEND CHAPTER 254 OF THE CODE OF THE VILLAGE OF SUFFERN, ENTITLED VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC, TO REFLECT CURRENT VEHICLE AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS IN THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT AND WEST WARD

The Board of Trustees authorized a public hearing to be held Monday, February 3, 2020 at 7:10 p.m. to amend Chapter 254 of the Code of the Village of Suffern, entitled Vehicles and Traffic, to reflect current vehicle and traffic conditions in the Central Business District and West Ward. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Paul Girard, seconded by Trustee Steven Alpert, and passed unanimously by the Board.

FIRE DEPARTMENT:

RESOLUTION NO. 05 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TO HOLD A FOOTBALL FUNDRAISING EVENT JANUARY 19, 2020

The Board of Trustees authorized the Suffern Fire Department to hold a Football Fundraising Event at the Suffern Hose Company Building, January 19, 2020. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

MOTION TO ACCEPT FIRE DEPARTMENT MEMBER:

A Motion to accept Chyanne Secone as an over age 18 member of the Hook and Ladder Company was made by Steve Alpert, seconded by Jo Meegan-Corrigan, and passed unanimously by the Board.

CULTURE AND RECREATION:

Cathy Mills reviewed the Recreation Department Calendar of Events: April 4 Easter Egg Hunt Suffern Gazebo; April 19 Suffern Street Fair; April 25 Keep Rockland Beautiful and Arbor Day; May 25 Memorial Day Parade; June 5 Art in the Park; June 20 Fishing Derby Foxwood Pond and BBQ at Elks; June 27 to September 7 Suffern Memorial Pool open for Summer; July 12 Family Day at Suffern Pool; Summer Concerts at Suffern Gazebo (indoors if inclement weather); Clifford Theater July 6-July 30 Rehearsals at Village Hall Auditorium; July 19 Broadway Day; July 31, August 1 Performances Village Hall Auditorium; August 20 Suffern Seniors Picnic Mahwah Elks; September 11 - 9/11 Ceremony at Suffern Village Hall; September Suffern Street Fair; September 26 Suffern and Fireworks; October 10 Halloween Window Painting; October 25 Halloween Parade; November 11 Veteran's Day Parade Village Hall and Soldiers Monument; December 5 Christmas Parade; December 6 Pearl Harbor Ceremony.

RESOLUTION NO. 06 OF 2019 - AUTHORIZING AARP TO USE THE LEO P. LYDON COMMUNITY BUILDING FOR RESIDENT TAX PREPARATION SERVICES JANUARY THROUGH APRIL, 2020

The Board of Trustees authorized AARP to use the Leo P. Lydon Community Building to conduct resident tax preparation services January through April, 2020. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

POLICE DEPARTMENT:

Chief Clarke Osborn provided an update on the impact that the Criminal Justice Reform Bill has had on the Village as well as local municipalities throughout the State. Chief Osborn indicated that in order to achieve administrative deadlines imposed on municipalities, it will be necessary to hire a part-time clerk to assist in these duties. He also indicated that it is likely all municipality will incur additional overtime cost in order to comply with required deadlines.

SUMMARY OF AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

A resident from Hillside Avenue who has been a member of the Suffern Civic Association spoke about the Avon PILOT Amendment discussed previously. She was skeptical of the original PILOT Agreement and hoped that the Board will pay close attention and closely examine all considerations including the impact on the environment. She was inspired by the high school students who presented to the Board and supports the Climate Smart Program. She believed the Village should monitor how it maintains pedestrian friendly programs. She asked that the crossing signs at the corner of Lafayette and Chestnut by the former bank be checked to ensure the signs are clearly heard and visible. She would like to see improvements to the area by the

train station and believes a significantly improved overpass had been discussed in the past. The Mayor indicated that he has been working with New Jersey Transit and the MTA to implement improvements to the train station and to address parking issues. She requested that the Board monitor the process used to permit trees to be cut down in the Village. She believes trees are an asset to the Village, the environment, to fight global warming, and that mature trees should only be cut down if they are diseased or dangerous. She believes an arborist should evaluate if a tree should be removed before a permit is granted. She also believes existing trees should be pruned and maintained. The Mayor asked Sandy Stead to look into the permitting process for tree removals. Sandy Stead spoke to the process that is followed to permit trees to be removed and believes that the Village should look at the procedures to make appropriate modifications.

A resident from Memorial Drive indicated that the Avon PILOT Agreement should not be modified since December 31 deadline required for the Village to close the sale of the Village's proposed acquisition of the Nail Enamel property (previously noted by Avon as being a firm date) was now extended by Avon. The resident expressed concerns about having the PILOT Amended without knowing more about the proposed prospective buyer. The resident from Memorial Drive spoke again later during Audience Participation asking when the bid for attorney went out. Trustee Girard responded he started working on the matter the day after the November 27 workshop meeting, so the bids went out in November. The resident responded that on November 27, the former Board was still in place, yet Trustee Girard was in contact with Trustee Elect Barone and Corrigan but did not speak to the members of the previous Board, including Trustee Alpert and the Mayor, while the previous Board was still in office. The resident asked Trustee Girard if he wrote up the request for proposal and how was the proposal was communicated to the attorneys. Trustee Girard referred to both "he" and "we" as who wrote the request for proposal. The resident asked Trustee Girard to clarify if he meant "he" or "we". Trustee Girard said he knows how to write up government bids, that he wrote the bid, but then said "we" requested bids. The resident said this appears to be sneaky.

A resident from Somerset Drive expressed concern that the Board did not ask enough questions about the proposed Avon PILOT amendment and asked that the Board consider what they want the Village of Suffern to be like in the future. The resident was concerned about truck traffic in the Village and how the Village may change with a new owner occupying the Nail Enamel Property. She was also concerned of hearing about the issues pertaining to retaining an attorney and was concerned about recent Suffern School Board decisions. She asked why the Village was paying for two lawyers, did the Board believe this was right, and why did the Board feel they had a right to hire an attorney without the Mayor's knowledge and approval. Trustee Girard stated that the Attorney was hired by contract by the Mayor in February of 2019, the Board approves contracts including an Attorney serving under contract. He stated that the Mayor is permitted to appoint, but not when it is by contract. Trustee Girard stated he wanted bids to take place for a Village Attorney in the five weeks prior to end of the Keane and Beane contract rather than appoint Keane and Beane as Attorney. He said it was not the Mayor's role to appoint an attorney

under contract. He stated that at the November 26 Workshop Meeting, he and the Mayor agreed to disagree about the appointment of Village Attorney. Trustee Girard then contacted NYCOM to provide guidance and was surprised when the matter went to Rockland County Supreme Court. Trustee Alpert indicated that when the Board was served papers regarding the legal action, it changed the dynamics for the Board regarding the scheduled Special Meeting on December 21st. Trustee Alpert stated that he had testified at the initial hearing and the remedy the Mayor sought from the Board was initially denied by the Judge along with the Mayor's appeal. Trustee Alpert believed based on this initial decision, the law appeared to agree with the Trustees. He explained only one attorney, Terry Rice, was contracted by the Board and any other attorney that is paid for services is in violation of the Board's decision. Trustee Alpert indicated that the Mayor has not signed the Agreement with Terry Rice to serve as Attorney. Trustee Girard stated the Village has a Village Attorney, Terry Rice, and that Mr. Rice was in the audience. Trustee Girard read the letter he wrote to NYCOM on December 10, 2019 regarding the matter of attorney. He stated it was his opinion that NYCOM indicated there was no scenario in which Keane and Beane could be appointed by contract by the Mayor as Village Attorney. He stated the Mayor can appoint an attorney with confirmation from the Board and the attorney in this instance is not a holdover because Keane and Beane was contracted to serve as an attorney and contracts require Board approval. Trustee Girard stated this was the law of the State of New York. He said he wanted to evaluate a contractual relationship, and there was no secret meeting with Mr. Rice. The resident from Somerset Drive concluded her discussion with the Board by saying that it looked like there was a soap opera going on, as a taxpayer she was disappointed, she will be involved in the next elections, and she will be involved in what is going on.

A resident from Sussex Court asked who negotiated the rate for the attorney and how it was done. She asked who Trustee Girard was referring to when he was speaking of the matter of the attorney by saying "we requested". Trustee Girard responded the he meant Trustee Barone and Corrigan when he said "we". She said it appeared the Mayor and the Deputy Mayor were not informed in advance that a bid was taking place, so it appeared the Trustees boycotted two Mayors and did something on their own. Trustee Girard responded that he spoke with NYCOM on November 27th about the matter and that he spoke to the Mayor advising him that, in the interest of the Village, a bid should take place for attorney. The resident asked if Trustee Girard advised the Mayor and the Deputy Mayor that the Trustees were conducting a bid or did the Trustees act alone, and asked Trustee Girard to answer "yes" or "no". The resident indicated that Trustee Girard was not answering the question, "yes" or "no", as to if the Trustees acted alone without the Mayor and Deputy Mayor. She then asked why it is taking so long to confirm the Village Clerk and what was going on regarding that matter. Trustee Girard responded that he could not answer. Trustee Alpert indicated that it was complicated. Trustee Barone indicated the Village Clerk is performing the functions of Village Clerk. Trustee Corrigan indicated it was complicated and the Board was not delaying anything. The resident replied that the answers indicated the Board was refusing to answer. Regarding the bids for Attorney, Trustee Girard stated the Trustees solicited the bids but that didn't mean they have to act on them. Trustee

Girard stated that when he received the December 2 agenda two hours before the meeting, the appointment of attorney was still listed. Trustee Girard felt the agenda was delayed because the Mayor didn't want to reveal to the Board that he still intended to appoint Keane and Beane, the Mayor did not want to reevaluate the appointment of an attorney, and that the Mayor wanted to appoint Keane and Beane as attorney which Trustee Girard believed was illegal. Mayor Markunas responded that this is the first time he is hearing that Trustee Girard and Trustees-Elect Barone and Corrigan convened without a formal meeting to discuss the appointment of an attorney, that New York State Village law provides that the Mayor appoints an attorney with confirmation from the Board, and that this was the first time the Mayor had heard additional information about the questions Trustee Girard did not answer during the December 2, 2019 meeting.

A resident stated that the Mayor gave an opening statement expressing his concern, but he would like to hear from the Mayor as well as the Board, so that the Mayor and the Trustees could be able to provide remarks about what was being said. The Mayor said he did not know what had taken place regarding the bid for attorney, but that the Village has a bidding process, it is a Board decision by Resolution, the bids are reviewed by the Village Attorney, yet that the process was not followed. Mayor Markunas asked Trustee Girard if he had conformed to the bidding procedures of the Village and Trustee stated he believe he did. Trustee Girard stated he believed it was wrong for the Mayor to ignore that the Board denied his appointment as Keane and Beane as Village Attorney.

A resident from Parkside Drive indicated that she understood a contract could not be entered into without Board approval but asked if the Mayor and Deputy Mayor were included in any of the discussions regarding the contract. She reviewed the Facebook message and the email the Board sent to the public regarding the matter of the attorney. She said it appeared three Trustees refused to discuss the appointment of Village Attorney at the December 2 Board Meeting and the three Trustees failed to show for the Special Meetings in December. She believed the public was left in the dark, and if there was any confusion regarding the matter, it was due to the actions of the Board. She stated that she spoke with Trustee Paul Girard for 70 minutes by telephone but felt all residents needed to hear the same message because that is the purpose of transparency. She said her conversation was frustrating, Trustee Girard failed to convince her what he was doing was right for the Village, and the conversation left her with unanswered questions. She asked why the public did not hear on December 2 that Trustee Girard had reached out to four attorneys, and why at the December 2nd Board Meeting only Terry Rice was discussed. She asked at what point did the Trustees decide to only raise Mr. Rice's name as Village Attorney. She said at the December 2nd Board Meeting someone from the audience had yelled out to Trustee Girard for him not to answer any of the questions, and that the Trustee Girard stopped speaking about the details. She then asked how the three Trustees communicated together about the attorney prior to the December 2nd Board Meeting. She asked again why Trustee Girard didn't bring up that he was reviewing the names of four attorneys. The Mayor stated that it would have been

helpful information for the Mayor to know that Trustee Girard had been in contact with four attorneys. The Mayor then said he was confused about the bidding process that Trustee Girard followed, was unsure if Trustee Girard held a meeting or a gathering and did not know if there were conversations or only emails between Trustee Girard and Trustees Corrigan and Barone. Trustee Girard indicated the four attorneys he was speaking of included Kean and Beane, Terry Rice, a third person who chose to remain anonymous, and the fourth attorney did not submit a response. The resident replied that the Village was told that the motivation behind Trustee Girard bidding for attorneys was to find cost savings. The contract with Mr. Rice, however, was not a negotiation because Mr. Rice was to be paid the same rate as Keane and Beane. She said there was no cost savings to the taxpayers because the current contract with Keane and Beane was used to seek out another attorney for the same cost. She asked Trustee Girard if he had ever worked with Attorney Rice since he had served as a Board Member. He said he had not. She asked how Trustee Girard could be sure then that Attorney Rice was the best choice for the role of attorney and Trustee Girard responded he hoped Attorney Rice would be the right choice. She said Trustee Girard's refusal to say how the bidding took place, and that his saying to her that this information was irrelevant, makes the matter more relevant to her. Charles Barone said he had experience working with Attorney Rice when he served on the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA). Trustee Barone described Attorney Rice as the "Bill Bilichick" of land use attorneys because he was able to argue on behalf of his clients on land use issues when they came before the ZBA. Trustee Barone stated that he spoke to members of the Village staff after the election who advised him that were unsure about continuing to use Keane and Beane, but Trustee Barone did not mention who he spoke to. In response to the concerns he stated that were raised, Trustee Barone brought forward the name of Terry Rice based upon his experience on the ZBA. The resident replied that Trustee Barone was a Trustee Elect not a Trustee sworn in, yet his opinion was the basis for a recommendation that resulted in a contract with Terry Rice. Trustee Barone said he had a right to provide his opinion as a Trustee Elect. The resident replied that prior to his being sworn in, that his opinion as well as the opinions of all residents, should have been equally considered. She said until Trustee Barone or Corrigan were sworn in, they only possess the same rights as all residents. She said that now she is hearing the new Trustee Elects were involved in the negotiations, but sometimes she hears they were not. The story keeps changing depending on who she is speaking to. She said Trustee Girard told her the Mayor had an unfair advantage over the other Trustees because he works full-time as Mayor. She responded that the Village should be glad to have a Mayor that is working full time and that Trustee Girard's statement made it appear that there was competition rather than working together. She didn't understand how a Mayor working harder or longer hours could be viewed as a negative for the Village residents. She stated that Trustee Girard said that differences between the Mayor and the Board over the decision to replace the attorney was healthy, even if it was uncomfortable and unpleasant, because in the long run it is the best for the Village. The resident said she believes new ideas can be healthy, but the underhanded way the Trustees went behind the Mayor, Deputy Mayor, and Village residents taking away transparency and setting into motion unnecessary expenses and divisiveness in the community is not a healthy thing. She believes these actions will

damage the working relationship the Board has with each other going forward and that the actions by the Board have damaged her trust in the Trustees. Trustee Girard said he is elected by the Village to do the best he can and to do it lawfully, and the Village cannot have one person able to do whatever they want. He said the Mayor can make a motion to appoint with confirmation from the Board. Trustee Girard said he did not come to the December 2nd Board Meeting planning to do anything about the role of attorney, and if the Mayor would have come to the meeting with his appointment for Attorney off the list, that would have change things. But the agenda was provided to him two hours before the meeting with the Mayor's appointment for attorney listed as Keane and Beane. The resident said that the agenda arriving two hours before the meeting was two hours more time than Trustee Girard had provided to the Mayor. Trustee Girard said there is no legal entity, including NYCOM, and no New York State entity that is upholding anything that the Mayor is trying to do. The resident replied she was waiting for the Judge to make that decision. The Mayor stated that the legal action taken against the three Trustees is in its infancy stage, that the Mayor had the right to appoint an attorney with confirmation by the Board, and that no final decision has been reached yet by the Judge. The Mayor said he was blindsided on December 2nd and he was only hearing details of the bidding that took place by Trustee Girard for attorney, that it was absurd that the Trustees did not follow the formal Village bidding process which they stated they had followed, and that these issues must be reviewed and decided upon by the Judge. The Parkside Drive resident spoke later during Audience Participation and said that when Trustee Girard spoke to her, he said that Terry Rice matched the same rate as Keane and Beane, but that was now different from what Trustee Girard told the resident from 11 Vista Way. She asked Trustee Girard how many contracts he had taken charge of since he had been Trustee and Trustee Girard responded none. She said a few months ago during the budget meetings Trustee Girard didn't know the name of the Assistant Village Attorney, but now Trustee Girard felt qualified to select an attorney.

A resident asked about the Village bidding process. Michael Genito explained the bidding process to the audience. He explained that specifications should be developed, the specifications are used to develop a Request For Proposal (RFP), the RFP is sent to those interested in that type of work, then a price or contract is negotiated. The resident asked Trustee Girard if he developed an RFP for Attorney and Trustee Girard stated that he believed that he did so.

A resident from 80 Orange Avenue stated that he believes there are no bids required for Professional Services Proposals, so it is a moot point. The resident stated when the Mayor became Mayor, he shopped around for an attorney. The Mayor had an attorney in place when he became Mayor. The resident stated that the Mayor, at the invitation of the resident speaking, spoke to Terry Rice who provided his opinion on a matter while the Mayor was visiting the resident's establishment. He said he was aware that the Mayor and the Village Clerk interviewed Terry Rice for Attorney last year when the Mayor was evaluating his previous year's appointment for an attorney. The resident stated on February 4, 2019, the Mayor did not appoint Keane & Beane, rather the Mayor contracted with Keane & Beane as a law firm because the Mayor

believed a full-service law firm was better for the Village than appointing an individual. The resident said because of this, things have not been done the same as was done with past Mayors. The resident said he went to the Supreme Court hearing when the Mayor asked the Judge to reverse the decision made by the Board to have Terry Rice serve as attorney. The resident stated he was unhappy that the Mayor used the police to serve letters to the Trustees regarding the legal proceedings, and if he had been a Board member, he wouldn't have come to a Special Meeting after being served. The resident asked each Board members if they would honor the decision made by Rockland County Supreme Court Judge Marx when it is reached. Each Trustee answered they would. Mayor Markunas said he would honor the decision but stated it was premature to answer that question because a decision has not been reached. The resident then spoke about the Village Clerk who was not confirmed by the Trustees as Village Clerk at the December 2nd Reorganization Meeting. He stated a few months ago an attorney, David Wise, reached out to him because the attorney was investigating an allegation the Clerk had made against Trustee Girard after Keane & Beane advised the Board to hire an outside investigator to address this claim. David Wise called the resident to look into something that had taken place in his establishment. The resident described this action as ridiculous. He said the Village spent money on an attorney because the Village Clerk made allegations against Mr. Girard. The resident wants the report released to the public because the Clerk is in a public office and would like to know how much the investigation cost the taxpayers of the Village. He said perhaps the Village Clerk raising up allegations against Trustee Girard was viewed as a mistake by the Trustees, and perhaps because an investigation took place, the Trustees used this as a reason to not confirm the Village Clerk. The resident said he believes the Village is trying to keep this matter confidential, but he does not have to worry about secrecy. The resident said he believes Terry Rice is the best land use attorney, that the Village should have a full land use study completed, and that as a resident he would rather have money spent on a land use study rather than have money spent investigating allegations against Trustee Girard. The resident stated the Board should be asking for all legal bills including what was spent by the Village for potentially acquiring the Avon Nail Enamel Property. The resident stated he had a problem with the legal advice Keane and Beane gave to the Village in preparation for the December 31st deadline Avon had set as a closing date for the Village to acquire the Nail Enamel Property because these activities took place before the School Board vote took place which resulted in the Suffern School Board voted not to approve the Avon PILOT Agreement modification.

A resident from Vista Way asked how much Trustee Girard saved on his negotiations with Terry Rice and asked if Mr. Rice saw the Keane and Beane contract because the numbers appeared to be the same. Trustee Girard responded the overtime hours Terry Rice was charging was \$10 less than the amount Keane and Beane was charging (with Keane and Beane charging \$265.00 for overtime hours and Terry Rice charging \$255.00). The resident said that it was disgraceful that at this meeting the Village Clerk was attacked. The resident stated two years ago Trustee Girard attacked the Village Clerk falsely accusing her of receiving payments made under the table which would then go to a volunteer of the Village, Trustee Girard then FOILED material to try to pin

something on that employee, the Clerk. The resident asked Trustee Girard to tell the audience what he found from the information he FOILed. Trustee Girard said he didn't attack the Clerk; it was actually an allegation against the Mayor. The resident asked Trustee Girard to go back to the video so he could see that he had falsely accused the Village Clerk, along with falsely accusing volunteer as well as the Mayor. The resident stated it was totally unacceptable that a personnel matter was discussed at the meeting tonight and that it is a disgrace how Trustee Girard treats people regardless if it is legal or illegal to do so, and that it is disgusting each time this happens. The resident stated he saved the Village money serving as a volunteer because the Mayor and the Village Clerk asked him to help out, and then Trustee Girard attacked him while he was serving as a volunteer which was unacceptable. The resident also spoke later during Audience Participation and said Trustee Girard attacked people which can be seen on video, has continued to attack the Village Clerk, and that that behavior such as that is what caused the spend that was referred to earlier. Trustee Girard responded that at the time he made the allegations against the Clerk, he was not yet a member of the Board. The resident replied he will not accept anyone saying that this issue is personal because what was spoken about at this meeting was the way people on the Board behave. The resident stated it did not sound as if there was an RFP, competitive bidding, no process was followed, and if this had been done in the companies he works for, the person who acted this way would have been fired from their job. The resident stated nothing is personal when it is on video that a Board member attacked a Village employee during a Board Meeting. He asked the Board if they believe it is acceptable to attack employees.

Joe Hunt, CSEA President says he speaks with members of the public and the Board and asked that the horse and pony show he was observing at the meeting between the members of the Board be stopped, that the Board be a uniform Board, that they should start working together, and they needed to regroup and get together. He said the Union supported four members of the Board, but not for this type of action. He also said he doesn't want to see employees have to be involved in the fight because the Village has too many things going on which require employees to work on to get things done for the Village.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS:

RESOLUTION NO. 7 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING PAYMENT NO. 2 TO TILCON NEW YORK PHASE 10 ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

The Village Board authorized Payment No. 2 in the amount of \$6,197.85 to Tilcon New York, 162 Old Mill Road, West Nyack, New York for Phase 10 Roadway Improvement Project. The AIA Document G702-1992 (Application and Certification for Payment) and the certified payroll have been reviewed by Charles Sawicki and have been deemed acceptable for payment. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 8 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING PAYMENT NO. 2 TO TILCON NEW YORK FOR VILLAGE HALL AND POLICE PARKING LOT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

The Village Board authorized Payment No. 2 in the amount of \$3,164.60 to Tilcon New York, 162 Old Mill Road, West Nyack, New York for the Village Hall and Police Department Parking Lot Improvement Project. The AIA Document G702-1992 (Application and Certification for Payment) and the certified payroll have been reviewed by Charles Sawicki and have been deemed acceptable for payment. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 9 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING PAYMENT NO. 1 TO NATIONAL WATER MAIN CLEANING COMPANY FOR CAST IN PLACE LINING PHASE 2 SEWER ABATEMENT PROJECT

The Village Board authorized Payment No. 1 in the amount of \$159,395.94 to National Water Main Cleaning Company, 1806 Kearney, New Jersey for the Phase 2 Sewer Abatement Project. The AIA Document G702-1992 (Application and Certification for Payment) and the certified payroll have been reviewed by Charles Sawicki and have been deemed acceptable for payment. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Paul Girard, seconded by Trustee Paul Girard, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 10 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF THE LOWEST BID FOR THE TREE MITIGATION PROJECT TO BARTLETT TREE EXPERTS

The Board of Trustees consulted with the Director of Public Works with respect to the awarding the lowest bid for the Tree Mitigation Project. The low bid for Tree Mitigation Project is in the amount of \$30,650.00 from Bartlett Tree Experts, 2240 Sawmill River Road, Elmsford, NY 10523. WHEREAS, the project will take place in two phases over a two-year period, with phase one in the amount of \$22,750.00 and phase 2 in the amount of \$8,000.00. The Village Board accepted the lowest bid in the amount of \$30,650.00 from Bartlett Tree Experts subject to the review of all required documents by the Village Attorney. The Mayor was authorized to sign the contract on behalf of the Village of Suffern. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Paul Girard, seconded by Trustee Steven Alpert, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 11 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE DEC R3-20110202-36 ORDER ON CONSENT SCHEDULE A MODIFICATION

The Board of Trustees authorizes the Mayor to sign the DEC R3-20110202-36 Order on Consent Schedule A Modification. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, all other terms and conditions of the March 13, 2012 Order on Consent shall continue in full force and effect. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

TREASURER:

RESOLUTION 12 OF 2020 - RESCINDING, REVOKING AND REPEALING THE BOND RESOLUTION ADOPTED NOVEMBER 6, 2019 AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS IN A PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$3,000,000

On November 6, 2019 the Village of Suffern Board of Trustees adopted a bond resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds in a principal amount not to exceed \$3,000,000 (the “Bond Resolution”) to finance the acquisition of a parcel of real property located at 37 Washington Avenue in the Village (the “Property”). The Property is currently subject to the terms and conditions of a payment in lieu of taxes agreement (the “PILOT”) between and among Avon Capital Corp. (“Avon”), the Rockland County Industrial Development Agency (the “IDA”), Rockland County (the “County”), the Town of Ramapo (the “Town”), the Suffern Central School District (the “School District”) and the Village of Suffern (the “Village”). An amendment to the PILOT approved by all parties to the PILOT would be required to allow Avon to sell the Property; and WHEREAS, on December 4, 2019 the School District Board of Education voted against a resolution to amend the PILOT in a way that would allow for the sale of the Property; and WHEREAS, no debt has been issued as authorized by the Bond Resolution. The Village Board rescinded, revoked and repealed the bond resolution adopted November 6, 2019 authorizing the issuance of bonds in the principal amount not to exceed \$3,000,000. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

TRUSTEE NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
Alpert	X		
Barone	X		
Girard	X		
Markunas	X		
Meegan-Corrigan	X		

RESOLUTION NO. 13 OF 2020 - RESCINDING THE RESOLUTION ADOPTED NOVEMBER 6, 2019 ESTABLISHING CAPITAL PROJECT 2020-007 ACQUISITION OF AVON PROPERTY

On November 6, 2019 the Village Board adopted Resolution 219 of 2019 establishing Project 2020-007 2020 Acquisition of Avon Property in the amount of \$3,000,000. On December 3, 2019 the Suffern Central School District Board of Education voted against a resolution to amend the payment in lieu of taxes agreement (PILOT) between and among Avon Capital Corp., the Rockland County IDA, Rockland County, the Town of Ramapo, the Suffern Central School District and the Village of Suffern that would allow for the acquisition of the Avon Property by the Village of Suffern. The Board of Trustees rescinded Resolution 219 of 2019 adopted November 6, 2019 that established capital project 2020-007 2020 Acquisition of Avon Property. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Steven Alpert, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 14 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING MICHAEL GENITO TO ATTEND THE GFOA GOVERNMENTAL ACCOUNTING TRAINING JANUARY 13-17, 2020

The Board of Trustees authorized Michael Genito to attend the GFOA Governmental Accounting Training in Nashville, Tennessee, January 13-17, 2020 at no cost to the Village. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Steven Alpert, seconded by Trustee Paul Girard, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 15 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING MICHAEL GENITO TO ATTEND THE GFOA GOVERNMENTAL ACCOUNTING TRAINING FEBRUARY 3-7, 2020

The Board of Trustees authorized Michael Genito to attend the GFOA Governmental Accounting Training in Long Beach, California, February 3-7, 2020 at no cost to the Village. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Steven Alpert, seconded by Trustee Paul Girard, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 16 OF 2019 - AUTHORIZING STEVEN CONLEE, RANDY ORTIZ, MIKE STARK, AND FRANK WILSON TO ATTEND THE NEW YORK STATE BUILDING OFFICIALS CONFERENCE (NYSBOC) ROCKLAND CHAPTER 2020 SEMINAR

NYSBOC offers continuing education opportunities on building and code topics. The fee to attend the Rockland Chapter conference is \$275 to be held April 21 – 23, 2020. The Village of Suffern Board of Trustees hereby authorizes Steve Conlee, Randy Ortiz, Mike Stark and Frank Wilson to attend the NYSBOC Rockland Chapter 2020 Seminar in Tallman, New York. The Village of Suffern will pay for or reimburse the employee for all actual, reasonable and necessary registration, meals and travel expenses associated with his attendance at this event. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

RESOLUTION NO. 17 OF 2020 - AUTHORIZING MAIN GATE PRODUCTIONS LLC TO FILM “GOD FRIENDED ME – SEASON 2” IN THE VILLAGE OF SUFFERN JANUARY 13 TO JANUARY 21, 2020

The Board of Trustees authorized Main Gate Productions, LLC, 15 Washington Avenue, Suite 3900, Brooklyn, New York, to conduct filming activities for “God Friended Me” at the Lafayette Theater and Leo P. Lydon Building locations January 13 through January 21, between the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. The Village Clerk is authorized to sign the Permit Application authorizing Main Gate Productions, LLC to conduct filming activities. The approval of this permit is subject to the submission of all required documentation as well as payment of fees all as provided for in Local Law 4 of 2017. A motion to approve the foregoing resolution was made by Trustee Jo Meegan-Corrigan, seconded by Trustee Charles Barone, and passed unanimously by the Board.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 p.m.